Urban common goods and territorial development : a new ground for resilience?

Leïla KEBIR<sup>+</sup> and Frédéric WALLET<sup>\*</sup>

\*UMR SADAPT/INRA Agroparistech/University of Paris-Saclay, <u>wallet@agroparistech.fr</u> + Lab'Urba/EIVP/University of East-Paris, <u>leila.kebir@eivp-paris.fr</u>

#### New « Common goods » (NCG)

C mmo Space Com on Sp ce ommo Spac Co mon S ace C mmon Sp ce C mmon Space Com on S ace Com on S ace Common Spac C mmon S ace Common Spac Com on Spa e Com on Spa e C mmo Spac Common Sp ce Common Sp ce

City as common, S. Stavrides



**Urban commons** 

Citizen laboratories

Community gardens

**GIS platforms** 

Local energy infrastructure

Etc.

https://urbancommons.paris/

#### **Common goods: brief story of a long-life concept**

#### Notion of common good Typology of goods **Thomas d'Aquin** A new economy of the commons Creation of the Samuelson and philosophical notion Musgrave **Elinor Ostrom** New common goods of the common Define common good, in a Defines a analytical goods as rival and theological sense framework and Renewal of the concept around non-excludable good new types of objects: heritage shows that collective and / or urban artefacts. management of a good is possible Territorial, urban common goods Rival Non rival Excludable Club Goods Private Goods • Built approach of CG Non Public Common Common pool resources **Excludable** Goods goods (natural, informational) Bundle of rights

## **Operational definition**



Good : rival / non excludable (ex: public space)

The community defines the mode of governance of the resource The community manages the resource

#### Urban commons

- Re-appropriation of the city by the residents/users
- (re)-creating community
- Between State and private resource management : collective/community
- City a fertile ground (diversity-densityinnovation / but also anonymity-individualismindifference)

#### Context

A need for new ways of managing resources (especially urban) and of living.

Expectation for more collective, participatory ways of management with stakeholders and users involved.

« Common good » concept crystallizes this expectation for more localized, inclusive, sustainable and fair development.

Overcoming of public / private dichotomy.

Climate change/ environmental transition/ economic crises

Energy production?

**Mobility?** 

Food, urban agriculture?

**Publics spaces use?** 

Knowledge platforms?



**Research project 2017-18** 

- Multidisciplinary reflexion on « new common goods »
- Identification of good practicies and difficulties (clubbisation risk, sustainability, etc.)
- Build an analytical framework in order to anlyse the phenomenon and its effect on the territorial development dynamics



What resources, what objects?

Community concerned? Actors involved?

**Role of the State?** 

Real CG? Inclusion? Exclusion?

CG washing?

Sustainability of the organisation

# NCG and territorial development issues

- Questions existing approaches to common goods
- Questions existing models of development, (re-)appropriation of the territory, new collective forms, etc.
- Contemporary ways of managing resources
- Contemporary ways of « making the city »
- Development and territorial governance approaches

**Based** 

Inventory common goods initiatives

In depth case study

Seminar cycle

# NCG and territorial development issues

- Questions existing approaches to common goods
- Questions existing models of development, (re-)appropriation of the territory, new collective forms, etc.
- Contemporary ways of managing resources
- Contemporary ways of « making the city »
- Development and territorial governance approaches

**Based** 

Inventory common goods initiatives

In depth case study

Seminar cycle

	<b>Territorial approach</b> (Crevoisier et al.)		
	Technical dimension How are these NCGs translated into concrete terms (types of resources mobilized, service provision, supply-chain, etc.)	Ostrom and the governance of common pool resources And also recent works on common goods	<section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header>
Territorial governance approaches	Socio-institutional dimension Types actors concerned and modes of coordination.		
	<b>Territorial dimension</b> Proximity / Distance relationships Anchoring / mobility Link to territorial development		

#### **Inventory of initiatives**

Identification of **self-proclaimed or proclaimed** initiatives related to the creation of common goods in France and abroad. Books, research papers

**Internet search** 

Specialized conferences

**Social networks** 

#### First results 1



Energy
IT plateforms
Food/agriculture
Learning/schools

- Community gardening
- Local currency
- Public space
- Collective housing

# 56 identified initiatives

#### First results 2

- Great variety of objects
- From micro-local to large-scale urban projects
- Specific projects / support organisations
- Public goods, clubs goods, common goods....even private goods
- Activation of latent resources in hybrid and multi-actor processes

Wide range goods

## Planning projects [Les Grands voisins - Paris]

- Large-scale project (3,4 hectars)
- Occupancy agreement with the City
- Charters for users
- Experimentation/ground for Innovation
- Temporary valuation of an urban area in transition
- Network multi-actors / planners / City / Associations
- Settlement inside Paris of an area of activities developed as "Common Goods":

.... housing for homeless and migrants, welcoming of associations and social companies, promoting the presence of kraftmen and creators, sharing of tools and workspaces, creation of a new kind of public park with educational, cultural and sports activities ...



#### Planning projects [Les Grands voisins - Paris]

- a nouvelle terrasse de la Lingerie par ter sve camp Marselle
- Temporary ground for innovation
- Start-up, incubatory
- Living lab': institutions, organisation....
- Service produced
- Saved money (promotors)
- Transformation of city planning practicies : innovation
- Diffusion, network creation .....

### Conclusions

- Projects aim at providing/protecting goods and services to the community in particular in a situation of crisis
- Lots of initiatives
- Need to « de-fuzz » the picture and build tools allowing to analyse these initiatives in relation to each other
- Dilution of the concept of common good? Risk of a too angelic vision (conflict, clubbing, asymmetries of power, reappropriation, « commons washing »...)

#### Conclusions

- New emerging approaches of urban creation (institutional set-ups)
- Complex combination of actors embedded in the territory
- Enlargement of the « productive » community ....
- ..... Opposition and alternative forms of production and development
- Resource management, scarcity management, lack of services taken in charge by groups instead of firms and/or State.... Beware of clubs/exclusion/"entre-soi"/sustainability
- Real contribution to territorial development ? How to capture it? What value (Wealth ? Profit? Well-being? Social ? Symbolic value? Learning? What repartition? What sustainability?)

Urban common goods and territorial development : a new ground for resilience?

Leïla KEBIR<sup>+</sup> and Frédéric WALLET<sup>\*</sup>

\*UMR SADAPT/INRA Agroparistech/University of Paris-Saclay, <u>wallet@agroparistech.fr</u> + Lab'Urba/EIVP/University of East-Paris, <u>leila.kebir@eivp-paris.fr</u>